



Eastern Journal of
**Library and Information
Science**

2025
Volume 1
Issue 2

eISSN: 3121-3375
Library Network
Eastern University, Sri Lanka

Bi-annual,
Peer-Reviewed,
Open Access Journal



Eastern Journal of Library and Information Science (EJLIS)

Bi-annual, Peer Reviewed, Open Access Journal eISSN: 3121-3375

2025

Volume 1

Issue 2

Research Article

Page No.

Innovative approaches for enhancing information literacy among aesthetic studies undergraduates: a study at Swamy Vipulanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Sri Lanka

1-09

B. Prashanthan

Measuring the Multidimensional Impact of University of Jaffna Research: An Altmetric-Based Evaluation

10-16

T. Janen

Editor

Mr. S. Santharoban,

Deputy Librarian, Faculty of Health-Care Sciences, Eastern University, Sri Lanka

Editorial Committee

Dr. W. J. Jeyaraj,

Librarian, Eastern University, Sri Lanka

Mr. M. M. Rifaudeen,

Librarian, South Eastern University of Sri Lanka

Mr. M.N.Ravikumar,

Senior Assistant Librarian, Main Library, Eastern University, Sri Lanka

eISSN: 3121-3375

This is a publication of Eastern University, Sri Lanka

This journal and its contents are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0).



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Innovative approaches for enhancing information literacy among aesthetic studies undergraduates: a study at Swamy Vipulanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Sri Lanka

B. Prashanthan

Library, Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka  0009-0007-8330-1528

Abstract: Information literacy is identified as an important skill in the contemporary higher education environment. It is the necessary skill required to access, locate, evaluate, present and process information for learning. In this context, this study explores innovative approaches to enhancing information literacy (IL) among aesthetic studies undergraduates at Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies in Sri Lanka. The study used a mixed method design integrating social constructivist theory, guided inquiry design, and the Big6 model. Quantitative data was collected through surveys, pre-tests, and post-tests, while qualitative data was gathered from interviews and focus groups discussions. Through the stratified random sampling method all 312 final year students of the Institute were selected as sample and 300 (96.15%) were responded. According to the findings guided inquiry-based pedagogical practices significantly improved IL skills, particularly in searching and gathering online information, evaluating online information, and source-based writing. Curriculum integration and faculty support were also crucial. The study suggested several recommendations to improve the information literacy skill among the aesthetic studies undergraduates and higher education sector.

CORRESPONDENCE

B. Prashanthan

Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies,
Eastern University, Sri Lanka,
Kallady Batticaloa
Email: prashanthanb@esn.ac.lk

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received
16 August 2025

Accepted
01 December 2025

KEYWORDS

Information Literacy, Aesthetic Studies,
Guided Inquiry, Pedagogical Practices,
Curriculum Integration

INTRODUCTION

Information literacy (IL) is a critical skill for university students, enabling them to effectively locate, evaluate, and use information. In the digital age, the ability to navigate a vast amount of information is essential for academic success and lifelong learning (Julien, 2018). But it is a challenge for undergraduates, given the overload of information. Information overload is a frequent complaint within these environments. Because of the complexity of these environments, undergraduates face a diverse and abundant array of information choices in their academic studies (Mashroofa, 2009).

Moreover, Brindha (2016) stated in the present digital age, people can collect information from various platforms, the internet, libraries, community resources, etc. However, a strong question arises here about the authenticity of this type of information, resulting in challenges in evaluating and using information. Therefore, the critical importance of information literacy for effective information use is frequently reiterated. The lack of effective IL skills among university students in Sri Lanka poses significant

challenges. Students often struggle with identifying credible sources, evaluating information, and applying it effectively in their academic work (Moselen & Wang, 2014). This gap in IL skills can hinder academic performance and limit students' ability to engage in critical thinking and research. Addressing this problem is crucial for improving educational outcomes and preparing students for the demands of the information-rich world (Hodge, 2015).

The scope of this study is specifically on the Swami Vipulanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, focusing on their IL skills and the effectiveness of innovative pedagogical practices. The study is grounded in social constructivist theory, emphasizing the role of guided inquiry and collaborative learning in developing IL skills (Kuhlthau, 2012).

The Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies was merged as a higher education institute with the Eastern University officially in 14th March 2005. The Institute has three Departments such as the Department of Carnatic Music, the Department of Dance, Drama & Theatre, and Visual & Technological Arts. The Institute offered the following Bachelor's Degrees in Fine Arts; Bachelor of Fine Arts in Carnatic Music, Bachelor of Fine Arts in Dance, Bachelor of Fine Arts in Drama & Theatre, and Bachelor of Fine Arts in Visual & Technological Arts.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.65714/ejlis251201>



Distributed under the Creative Commons
CC BY-NC-SA 4.0
Publisher: Eastern University, Sri Lanka

Objectives

The objective of this study is to explore and evaluate innovative approaches to enhancing information literacy among aesthetic studies undergraduates.

Specific Objectives

1. To identify the current state of information literacy among aesthetic studies undergraduates in Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka.
2. To develop and test innovative pedagogical practices for improving information literacy.
3. To assess the effectiveness of these practices in enhancing students' information literacy skills.

Problem statement

Due to the Information explosion, many higher education students face challenges in accessing and using information. This situation has been impacting the undergraduates' learning activities. For enhancing this information literacy, skills play a vital role in higher education. "Development of information literacy skills of undergraduates enables them to pursue their studies more effectively" (Jayatissa, 2009, p.5). The findings of the above study indicate the importance of developing information literacy skills among undergraduates. Similarly, Prashanthan (2020) study conducted at the Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies also revealed that undergraduates possessed limited information literacy skills and highlighted the need for further improvement. These findings demonstrate the need for continued research on the importance of Information literacy skills and identifying effective strategies to promote information literacy skills among the undergraduates of Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies.

Significance

This research is significant for several reasons. Firstly, the existing research on information literacy focusing on Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies undergraduates has not been conducted on a specific number. The study gains its significance from its capacity to ascertain the perceptions and cognitive levels pertaining to information literacy among

students. Furthermore, the findings of this study will facilitate the Swamy Vipulananda Institute of Aesthetic Studies to integrate or incorporate of information literacy skills into its curriculum development.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Information literacy (IL) is a critical competency for university students, enabling them to effectively locate, evaluate, and use information. Reviews the existing literature on innovative approaches to enhancing IL among university students, with a focus on comparative studies and best practices from various countries. The review is structured to provide a comprehensive understanding of the variables, theories, past research findings, and research gaps. According to the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL, 2016), IL is the set of integrated abilities encompassing the reflective discovery of information, the understanding of how information is produced and valued, and the use of information in creating new knowledge and participating ethically in communities of learning. Innovative Pedagogical Practices: These refer to new and creative teaching methods designed to enhance learning outcomes. Examples include inquiry-based learning, problem-based learning, and the use of digital tools and resources (Kuhlthau, 2012).

The theoretical framework for this study is grounded in social constructivism, which posits that learning is a social process where individuals construct knowledge through interactions with others (Vygotski & Cole, 1978). Key theories relevant to this study include:

Social Constructivism: Vygotsky's theory emphasizes the importance of social interactions and the role of more knowledgeable others (MKO) in the learning process. The concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) is central to this theory, highlighting the potential for learners to achieve higher levels of understanding with appropriate guidance (Vygotski & Cole, 1978).

Guided Inquiry Design (GID): It was developed by Kuhlthau (2012). Guided Inquiry Design is a framework that supports inquiry-based learning through structured guidance and intervention at multiple phases of the learning process. This approach is designed to foster deep learning and improved understanding.

Big6 Model: This is an inquiry-based pedagogical approach to information problem-solving, comprising six major stages and two sub-stages. It emphasizes critical thinking and the

systematic process of information seeking and use (Eisenberg & Berkowitz, 1999).

Numerous studies have explored the effectiveness of various pedagogical practices in enhancing IL among university students. Key findings from past research include that studies have shown that inquiry-based learning can significantly improve IL skills among students. For example, Hossain and Sormunen (2023) found that a guided inquiry-based pedagogical practice embedded into regular courses improved IL skills among LIS students in Bangladesh.

Integrating IL into the curriculum at different levels (university, faculty, program, course, class) has been advocated by many researchers (Salisbury & Sheridan, 2011; Stubbings & Franklin, 2006). Both top-down and bottom-up approaches have been used successfully to embed IL instructions into curricula (Hossain & Sormunen, 2023). Learner-centered pedagogical methods, such as problem-based learning (PBL), inquiry-based learning (IBL), and project-based learning, have been proven more effective than traditional lecture-based methods in improving IL competences (Dolnicar, 2017; Walton & Hepworth, 2011). Multiple assessment methods, including knowledge tests and performance-based assessments, have been used to measure the effectiveness of IL interventions. Studies have found that contextualizing IL needs with course content, learning activities, assignments, and assessments is critical for successful IL integration (Freeman & Lynd-Balta, 2010; Wang, 2011). Research on innovative practice of information literacy education in universities under the background of digitalization. The study proposes innovative approaches to enhance college library information literacy education including establishing an assessment mechanism for college students. (Guijuna, Pang, 2025).

While many researchers have advocated for curriculum-embedded IL instructions in various

disciplines, it is not common in LIS schools. More research is needed to explore the effectiveness of IL teaching interventions in LIS education (Hossain & Sormunen, 2023). Relationship between IL Knowledge and Skills: The relationship between IL knowledge and skills is complex and not well understood. More research is needed to explore how IL knowledge and skills are related and how they can be effectively developed through pedagogical interventions (Hossain & Sormunen, 2023).

This literature review has highlighted the importance of IL for university students and the various innovative pedagogical practices that can enhance IL skills. The review has also identified key theories, past research findings, and research gaps

METHODOLOGY

The conceptual framework for this study is grounded in social constructivism, which posits that learning is a social process where individuals construct knowledge through interactions with others (Vygotski & Cole, 1978). The framework integrates guided inquiry design (GID) and the Big6 model to enhance IL among students.

The population for this study includes Institute students enrolled in undergraduate programs in the Swamy Vipulandanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka. A stratified random sampling method will be used to select participants from various disciplines across the Institute. The sample will include all final year students from different departments and programs to ensure diversity and representativeness. The target sample size was 312 students (All final year Students of undergraduates program in the 2025 or 2019/2020 academic year). However, 300 (96.15%) students responded. The data collection methods for this study were applied to obtain appropriate information from quantitative and




Figure 1: Conceptual framework showing factors influencing the information literacy knowledge and information literacy skills

qualitative methods. Structured questionnaires were used to collect data on students' information literacy skills, knowledge, and attitudes. The surveys include both closed-ended and open-ended questions. Information literacy knowledge and skills were assessed using pre-tests and post-tests based on the ACRL framework (ACRL, 2016).

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with selected students and faculty members to gain deeper insights into their experiences and perceptions of information literacy. Focus group discussions were held to explore students' views on the effectiveness of different pedagogical practices. The analysis includes descriptive statistics, inferential statistics for quantitative data, and thematic analysis of qualitative data. The data were collected using surveys, pre-tests, post-tests, and focus groups. T-tests were used to compare the means of information literacy knowledge and skills between the intervention group and the control group. The Interview and focus group data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify common themes and patterns. The analysis was conducted using SPSS software, and the results were presented in tables and figures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Knowledge on Information Literacy

The pre-test results for information literacy knowledge among undergraduates in Swamy Vipulandanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka is summarized in Table 1. The variables measured include searching and gathering online information, evaluating online information, understanding the value of information, and overall information literacy knowledge.

The mean score for searching and gathering online information is 2.51, with a median of 2.50 and a mode of 2.00. The standard deviation is 0.91, indicating moderate variability in students' ability to search and gather online information. Evaluating online information has a higher mean score of 3.03, with a median and mode of 3.00, and a standard deviation of 1.29, suggesting greater variability in students' evaluation skills. Understanding the value of information has a mean score of 2.00, with a median and mode of 2.00, and a standard deviation of 1.02, indicating moderate variability. The overall information literacy knowledge has a mean score of 2.51, with a median of 2.50, a mode of 2.00, and a standard deviation of 0.91.

Information Literacy Skills

The pre-test results for information literacy skills among undergraduates in Swamy Vipulandanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, are summarized in Table 2. The variables measured include searching and gathering online information, evaluating online information, source-based writing, understanding the value of information, and overall information literacy skills.

The mean score for searching and gathering online information is 1.35, with a median of 1.00 and a mode of 1.00. The standard deviation is 0.76, indicating moderate variability in students' ability to search and gather online information. Evaluating online information has a mean score of 1.29, with a median and mode of 1.00, and a standard deviation of 0.65, suggesting moderate variability in students' evaluation skills. Source-based writing has a mean score of 0.93, with a median and mode of 1.00, and a standard deviation of 0.42, indicating lower variability. Understanding the value of information has a mean score of 0.57, with a median of 0.50, a mode of 0.00, and a standard deviation of 0.60, indicating moderate variability. The overall information literacy skills have a mean score of 1.04, with a median of 1.00, a mode of 1.00, and a standard deviation of 0.39.

The descriptive statistics provide valuable insights into the current state of information literacy knowledge and skills among undergraduate students in Swamy Vipulandanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka. The mean scores indicate that students generally have moderate levels of information literacy knowledge and skills, with some variability across different domains.

The mean score for evaluating online information (1.29) suggests that students may be more proficient in assessing the credibility and relevance of information compared to other domains. However, the variability in scores indicates that there is room for improvement in students' evaluation skills.

The lower mean scores for source-based writing and understanding the value of information suggest that these areas may require more targeted interventions to enhance students' abilities. The variability in scores indicates that students have differing levels of proficiency in these domains, highlighting the need for personalized and differentiated instruction.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Information Literacy Knowledge (Pre-test)

Variable	N	Mean	Median	Mode	Std. Deviation
Searching and Gathering Online Information	300	2.51	2.50	2.00	0.91
Evaluating Online Information	300	3.03	3.00	3.00	1.29
Understanding the Value of Information	300	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.02
Overall Information Literacy Knowledge	300	2.51	2.50	2.00	0.91

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Information Literacy Skills (Pre-test)

Variable	N	Mean	Median	Mode	Std. Deviation
Searching and Gathering Online Information	300	1.35	1.00	1.00	0.76
Evaluating Online Information	300	1.29	1.00	1.00	0.65
Source – Based Writing	300	0.93	1.00	1.00	0.42
Understanding the Value of Information	300	0.57	0.50	0.00	0.60
Overall Information Literacy Skills	300	1.04	1.00	1.00	0.39

Overall, the descriptive statistics underscore the importance of implementing innovative pedagogical practices to enhance information literacy among undergraduates. The findings suggest that guided inquiry-based learning and other learner-centered approaches can be effective in improving students' information literacy skills.

The T-test results for information literacy knowledge (post-test) (Table 3) indicate that there is a statistically significant difference between the intervention group and the control group in the domains of searching and gathering online information ($t = 10.47$, $p = 0.002$) and evaluating online information ($t = 4.33$, $p = 0.041$). However, there is no significant difference in understanding the value of information ($t = 0.017$, $p = 0.897$) and overall information literacy knowledge ($t = 0.617$, $p = 0.435$).

The T-test results for information literacy skills (post-test) (Table 4) show that there is a statistically significant difference between the intervention group and the control group in all domains: searching and gathering online information ($t = 15.53$, $p < 0.001$), evaluating online information ($t = 20.41$, $p < 0.001$), source-based writing ($t = 22.44$, $p < 0.001$), understanding the value of information ($t = 21.31$,

$p < 0.001$), and overall information literacy skills ($t = 43.64$, $p < 0.001$). These results indicate that the intervention group performed significantly better than the control group in all aspects of information literacy skills.

The ANOVA results for information literacy knowledge (post-test) (Table 5) indicate that there is a statistically significant difference between the groups in the domains of searching and gathering online information ($F = 10.47$, $p = 0.002$) and evaluating online information ($F = 4.33$, $p = 0.041$). However, there is no significant difference in understanding the value of information ($F = 0.017$, $p = 0.897$) and overall information literacy knowledge ($F = 0.617$, $p = 0.435$).

The ANOVA results for information literacy skills (post-test) (Table 6) show that there is a statistically significant difference between the groups in all domains: searching and gathering online information ($F = 15.53$, $p < 0.001$), evaluating online information ($F = 20.41$, $p < 0.001$), source-based writing ($F = 22.44$, $p < 0.001$), understanding the value of information ($F = 21.31$, $p < 0.001$), and overall information literacy skills ($F = 43.64$, $p < 0.001$). These results indicate that the level of curriculum integration has a significant impact on students' information literacy skills.

Table 3: Independent Sample T-test for Information Literacy Knowledge

Variable	Group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	t- Value	p - Value
Searching and Gathering Online Information	Intervention	150	3.86	0.91	10.47	0.002
Evaluating Online Information	Intervention	150	3.40	1.03	4.33	0.041
Understanding the Value of Information	Intervention	150	3.61	1.20	0.017	0.897
Overall Information Literacy Knowledge	Intervention	150	3.62	0.80	0.617	0.435

Table 4: Independent Sample T-test for Information Literacy Skills

Variable	Group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	t- Value	p - Value
Searching and Gathering Online Information	Intervention	150	3.05	0.79	15.53	<0.001
Evaluating Online Information	Intervention	150	2.61	0.82	20.41	<0.001
Source – Based Writing	Intervention	150	1.95	0.87	22.44	<0.001
Understanding the Value of Information	Intervention	150	1.77	0.86	21.31	<0.001
Overall Information Literacy Skills	Intervention	150	2.35	0.64	43.64	<0.001

Table 5: ANOVA for Information Literacy Knowledge

Variable	Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	p - Value
Searching and Gathering Online Information	Between Groups	10.47	2	5.24	10.47	0.002
Evaluating Online Information	Between Groups	4.33	2	2.17	4.33	0.041
Understanding the Value of Information	Between Groups	0.017	2	0.008	0.017	0.897
Overall Information Literacy Knowledge	Between Groups	0.617	2	0.308	0.617	0.435

Pearson's correlation was used to explore the relationships between information literacy knowledge and skills. Pearson's correlation coefficient measures the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two variables.

Pearson's correlation results (Table 7) indicate that there is a significant positive correlation between overall information literacy knowledge and overall information literacy skills ($r = 0.361$, $p < 0.01$). There are also significant positive correlations between overall information literacy knowledge and skills in evaluating online information ($r = 0.290$, $p < 0.05$), source-based writing ($r = 0.366$, $p < 0.01$), and understanding the value of information ($r = 0.325$, $p < 0.01$). However, the correlation between overall information literacy knowledge and skills in searching and gathering online information is not significant ($r = 0.167$, $p > 0.05$).

The correlations between knowledge of searching and gathering online information and overall information literacy skills ($r = 0.371$, $p < 0.01$), source-based writing ($r = 0.384$, $p < 0.01$), and understanding the value of information ($r = 0.380$, $p < 0.01$) are significant. However, the correlation with skills in evaluating online information is not significant ($r = 0.215$, $p > 0.05$).

Thematic analysis was conducted on the qualitative data collected from interviews and focus groups. The analysis identified common themes (Table 8) and patterns related to students' experiences and perceptions of information literacy.

Table 6: ANOVA for Information Literacy Skills

Variable	Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	p - Value
Searching and Gathering Online Information	Between Groups	15.53	2	7.77	15.53	<0.001
Evaluating Online Information	Between Groups	20.41	2	10.21	20.41	<0.001
Source – Based Writing	Between Groups	22.44	2	11.22	22.44	<0.001
Understanding the Value of Information	Between Groups	21.31	2	10.66	21.31	<0.001
Overall Information Literacy Skills	Between Groups	43.64	2	21.82	43.64	<0.001

Table 7: Pearson's Correlation between Information Literacy Knowledge and Skills (Post-test)

Variable	Overall IL Skills	Skills in Searching and Gathering	Skills in Evaluating Online Information	Skills in Source – Based Writing	Skills in Understanding Value of Information
Overall IL Knowledge	0.361**	0.167	0.290*	0.366**	0.325**
Knowledge of Searching and Gathering	0.371**	0.230	0.215	0.384**	0.380**
Knowledge of Evaluating Online Information	0.093	-0.052	0.139	0.165	0.019
Knowledge of Understanding Value of Information	0.285*	1.63	0.260*	0.206	0.271*

Table 8: Themes of Interviews and Focus Groups

Theme	Description
Challenges in Information Literacy	Students reported difficulties in identifying credible sources, evaluating information, and applying it effectively in their academic work.
Effectiveness of Pedagogical Practices	Students found inquiry-based learning more engaging and effective in improving their information literacy skills compared to traditional lecture-based methods.
Curriculum Integration	Students and faculty emphasized the importance of integrating information literacy into the curriculum at different levels to enhance learning outcomes.
Faculty Support	The level of support and involvement from faculty members was identified as a critical factor in promoting and integrating information literacy into the curriculum.
Access to Resources	Limited access to technological and educational resources was reported as a barrier to developing information literacy skills.

CONCLUSION

This study aimed to explore innovative approaches to enhancing information literacy (IL) among undergraduate students in Swamy Vipulandanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka. Through a comparative study, the research addressed the problem of low levels of IL skills among undergraduate students and sought to achieve several objectives, including identifying the current state of IL, comparing IL practices in Swamy Vipulandanda Institute of Aesthetic Studies, Eastern University, Sri Lanka.

The findings from the data analysis indicate that the problem of low IL skills among undergraduate students has been effectively addressed through the implementation of guided inquiry-based pedagogical practices. The study demonstrated that these innovative practices significantly improved students' IL skills, particularly in areas such as searching and gathering online information, evaluating online information, and source-based writing. The positive correlation between IL knowledge and skills further supports the effectiveness of these practices.

The pre-test results revealed that students had low levels of IL skills, confirming the need for intervention. The guided inquiry-based pedagogical practices were developed and tested, showing significant improvements in students' IL skills. The post-test results and qualitative data from interviews and focus groups confirmed the effectiveness of the innovative pedagogical practices in enhancing IL skills.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations can be made for further enhancement of IL among aesthetic undergraduate students. These recommendations are categorized into short-term and long-term strategies.

Short-Term Recommendations

Institutions should adopt guided inquiry-based pedagogical practices in their curricula to improve IL skills. This approach is effective in enhancing students' ability to search, evaluate, and use information. Regular workshops and training sessions on IL should be organized for students and academic department members. These workshops can provide hands-on experience and practical skills in information literacy. IL components should be embedded into existing courses across various disciplines. This integration can be achieved through collaboration between the staff of Academic departments and librarians. The institute should ensure that students have access to the technological and educational resources necessary for developing IL skills. This includes providing access to online databases, digital libraries, and research tools.

Long-Term Recommendations

The institute should develop comprehensive IL programs that are integrated into the curriculum at different levels (institute, department, programme, course). These programmes should be designed to systematically enhance IL skills over the course of students' academic careers. Academic members should be

actively involved in promoting and integrating IL into the curriculum. (Promote Academic Members' Involvement) This can be achieved through professional development programs and incentives for faculty who contribute to IL education. Collaborate with international institutions to share best practices and develop joint IL programs. This collaboration can enhance the quality of IL skills and provide students with global perspectives.

Future Research Directions

While this study has provided valuable insights into enhancing IL among aesthetic studies in Sri Lanka, several limitations should be acknowledged, and future research directions should be considered.

The study was conducted with a sample size of 300 final-year students from various disciplines in Swamy Vipulanada Institute. While this sample size is adequate, future research could benefit from larger and more diverse samples to ensure generalizability. The study focused on short-term interventions and their immediate effects on IL skills. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term impact of these pedagogical practices. While qualitative data from interviews and focus groups provided valuable insights, future research could include more extensive qualitative data collection to capture a broader range of experiences and perceptions.

Research should investigate Institute's perspectives on IL education and their role in promoting and integrating IL into the curriculum. Understanding faculty attitudes and experiences can inform strategies for enhancing faculty involvement. Moreover, future studies should examine the impact of technological resources on IL development, considering factors such as access to digital libraries, online databases, and research tools. This can include assessing the effectiveness of different types of resources in enhancing IL skills.

REFERENCES

Association of College and Research Libraries. (2016). Framework for information literacy for higher education. ACRL, www.ala.org/acrl/sites/ala.org/acrl/files/content/issues/infolit/Framework_ILHE.pdf

Begum, D., Roknuzzaman, M. & Elahi, M.H. (2020). Online information literacy training for users during covid-19 pandemic: a case study of east west university library, Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Journal of Knowledge and Communication*

Management, 10(2), p. 81-98. DOI:10.5958/2277-7946.2020.00008.X

Prashanthan, B. (2020). The usage of information literacy skills in research projects of undergraduates of aesthetic studies. *Sri Lanka Library Review*, 34 (01), p. 24-38.

Brindha, T. (2016). Information literacy and libraries. *Shanlex international Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, 3(4), pp.85-90.

Dolnicar, D., Podgornik, B.B. and Bartol, T. (2017). A comparative study of three teaching methods on student information literacy in stand-alone credit-bearing university courses, *Journal of Information Science*, 43(5), p. 601-614.

Eisenberg, M.B. & Berkowitz, R.E. (1999). Teaching Information and Technology Skills: The Big6 in Elementary Schools. Linworth.

Freeman, E. & Lynd-Balta, E. (2010). Developing information literacy skills early in an undergraduate curriculum, *College Teaching*, 58 (3), p. 109-115.

Guijuan, Pang. (2025). Research on innovative practice of information literacy education in university Libraries under the background of digitalization. *The Educational Review*, 8(2), p.331-335. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.26855/er.2024.02.025>.

Hodge, M. (2015). Pedagogy for librarians. In Dawn, L. (Ed.) *Skills to Make a Librarian: Transferable Skills Inside and Outside the Library*. Chandos (pp. 155-174). VCU Libraries Faculty and Staff Publications.

Hossain, M.A. & Sormunen, E. (2023). An inquiry-based teaching intervention to embed information literacy instructions into a library and information science curriculum in Bangladesh. *Information Research an International Electronic Journal*, 28 (3), p. 2-36.

Jayatissa, L.A. (2009). A multi-faceted programme for information skills development: University of Kelaniya. *Journal of the University Librarians Association of Sri Lanka*, 13, p. 5-22.

Julien, H., Gross, M. & Latham, D. (2018). Survey of information literacy instructional practices in U.S. academic libraries. *College and Research Libraries*, 79(2), p. 179-199.

Kuhlthau, C.C., Maniotes, L.K. & Caspari, A.K. (2012). *Guided Inquiry Design: A Framework for Inquiry in Your School*. ABC-CLIO.

Moselen, C. & Wang, L. (2014). Integrating information literacy into academic curricula: a professional development programme for librarians at the University of Auckland. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 40(2), p. 116-123.

Prashanthan, B. (2020). The usage of information literacy skills in research projects of undergraduates of aesthetic studies. *Sri Lanka Library Review*, 34 (01), p. 24-38.

Salisbury, F. & Sheridan, L. (2011). Mapping the journey: developing an information literacy strategy as part of curriculum reform. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 43(3), p. 185-193.

Vygotski, L.S. & Cole, M. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.

Wang, L. (2011). An information literacy integration model and its application in higher education. *Reference Services Review*, 39(4), p. 703-720.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Measuring the Multidimensional Impact of University of Jaffna Research: An Altmetric-Based Evaluation

T.Janen
Library, University of Jaffna,  0000-0003-0308-0889

Abstract: This study aims to measure the overall social media visibility, societal impact and analyze the policy influence in national and international policy documents of research publications of University of Jaffna (UoJ) using Altmetric data. Analysis of the Altmetric Attention Score (AAS) shows that, UoJ research publications received 2,512 total mentions among those 1,972 mentions from social media, 254 news and blogs, 182 mentions in policy, patents and guidelines etc. Seventy-five publications were mentioned in World Health Organization (WHO) policy sources. Open access publications received more AAS than closed access and among the open access, bronze open access received highest AAS and dimension citation. Trend of AAS shows fluctuating pattern and in general it increasing over the time. Among the different social media platforms, X-mentions is a dominant platform to publicize UoJ publications. Psychology (n=25.83) and biomedical clinical sciences (n= 22.03) received highest average AAS it indicates the strong social media interest than other research publications by UoJ. Research output related to psychology also perform well in dimension citation and it reflecting academic impact. There is a considerable mismatch in some research areas that are highly cited academically may not get proportionate attention in Altmetric and vice versa. The result significantly shows the usage of research outputs by the national and international level policy documents. It bought as a valuable indicator of real world influence especially these publications have been cited in global health policies published by WHO. This study highlights the multidimensional impact of UoJ research, demonstrating that Altmetrics complement traditional citations by capturing immediate societal and policy influence. The study recommends strengthening open access publishing and researcher engagement on social media while incorporating Altmetrics into institutional evaluations to enhance visibility and societal impact. growth and supporting future academic planning and development.

CORRESPONDENCE

T.Janen
Library, University of
Jaffna, Email:
jthivya@eng.jfn.ac.lk

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received
21 October 2025

Accepted
17 December 2025

KEYWORDS

University of Jaffna,
Altmetric Attention
Score (AAS),
Dimension citation, So-
cial media impact,
Societal impact

INTRODUCTION

Traditional citation-based indices remain widely used for assessing scientific productivity and research excellence, but they present notable limitations. Citations typically accumulate only after several years, which delays impact assessment, and citation distributions are often highly skewed, making journal-level measures unsuitable proxies for article-level impact (Lamba et al., 2021). Moreover, the predictive power of journal impact factors has weakened in recent years, prompting the emergence of alternative approaches. The growing presence of scholarly communication on social media platforms has accelerated the development of alternative metrics (altmetrics), which complement traditional citation analysis by capturing the broader and more immediate societal visibility of research outputs (Dotti & Walczyk, 2022). The dissemination of research and its influence on society have traditionally been measured using article- and journal-level indicators such as citation counts and Impact Factor (IF) (Sedighi,

2020). While these bibliometric indicators continue to serve as standard tools for assessing the long-term impact of research, alternative metrics have emerged to evaluate the visibility and short-term societal influence of scholarly work through nontraditional means. With the rapid growth of social media platforms, researchers now have greater opportunities to increase the reach and public exposure of their work. Social networking sites, news media, and video-sharing platforms all contribute significantly to the broader dissemination of academic content (Chang et al., 2019). Traditional citation patterns suggest that it typically takes two to three years post-publication for an article to reach its citation peak, thereby delaying the assessment of its impact (Thelwall, 2020).

In contrast, due to the speed and accessibility of information sharing on social media, alternative metrics offer a more immediate reflection of an article's societal impact (Adie & Roe, 2013). There has been no documented research examining the relationship between traditional citation-based metrics and alternative social media-based indicators in assessing the visibility of research outputs originating from the

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.65714/ejlis251202>



Distributed under the Creative Commons
CC BY-NC-SA 4.0
Publisher: Eastern University, Sri Lanka

University of Jaffna. The Altmetric Attention Score (AAS) /Altmetric score was introduced in 2010 as a tool to evaluate the individual impact of an article, though attention attracted online. The score reflects how widely an article is “mentioned” in a range of media, including social media (i.e., Facebook, Twitter), newspapers and encyclopedias (i.e., Wikipedia), public policy documents, online reference managers (i.e., Mendeley), multimedia sites (i.e., YouTube), and patents. Individual mentions on these platforms are assigned specific weights. Subsequently, all mentions are tallied and combined into a single index (Adie & Roe, 2013). In recent years, scholarly communication has undergone a significant transformation with the increasing use of digital platforms and social media for disseminating research outputs. Traditional research impact indicators, particularly citations, primarily reflect academic influence but often fail to capture the immediate visibility, societal engagement, and online attention received by scholarly publications. In this context, (AAS) has emerged as a complementary indicator that measures online attention across social media, news outlets, policy documents, blogs, and academic networking platforms. The University of Jaffna, as a leading public university in Sri Lanka, produces a growing volume of scholarly publications. However, systematic evidence on how these publications gain visibility through social media platforms, how researchers contribute to online academic platforms, and how such visibility relates to traditional citation impact remains limited. Understanding this relationship is essential for enhancing the university’s research visibility and global academic presence.

This study aims to explore the relationship between AAS, social media visibility, platform contribution and citation of the university of Jaffna publications.

Objectives of the study

1. To assess the extent to which research publications from the University of Jaffna achieve social media visibility and societal impact, as reflected through Altmetric indicators, in order to understand their reach beyond traditional academic audiences.
2. To assess the relative contribution of different online platforms and open access status to the Altmetric Attention Scores of University of Jaffna research outputs.
3. To compare disciplinary variations in online attention and assess the relationship between

Altmetrics and traditional citation-based indicators.

4. To analyze the policy influence of University of Jaffna research publications as reflected in mentions within national and international policy documents..

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopted a quantitative approach to analyze the online mentions and social media visibility of research publications affiliated to the University of Jaffna using Altmetric data. The data retrieved from Altmetric Explorer, it is tracking all the online attention to published research in one in-built platform. Altmetric captures online attention surrounding scholarly from wide range of digital platforms including news outlets, blogs, policy documents, social media and reference manager like Mendeley. Data on research publications affiliated with University of Jaffna was retrieved in June 2025 and it was extracted using institutional filters available in Altmetric Explorer (Altmetric.com, 2025).

The dataset included Altmetric Attention Score (AAS), different online platform mentions, open access status, subject classifications, publication meta data and citation counts form Dimensions. Answer to the research question descriptive statistics method was used and the data was analyzed using MS excel and SPSS.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Research impact measures in multidimensional approaches as, academic impact, societal impact, policy impact, economic impact, media impact and educational impact (Lamba et al., 2021). The emergence of altmetrics as complementary indicators to traditional citation-based metrics has enabled a broader understanding of research influence, particularly regarding policy and societal engagement. Tattersall & Carroll, 2018, in their case study of the University of Sheffield, examined how Altmetric.com data capture the policy impact of academic publications. Using data collected up to February 2017, their analysis incorporated multiple indicators policy citations, news media coverage, blog mentions, Mendeley saves, and Wikipedia citations derived from Altmetric.com and related platforms. The study found that fields such as medicine, dentistry, and health showed the highest representation in policy documents, underscoring disciplinary differences in societal engagement. Although Altmetric.com effectively identified research cited in government and

organizational policies, the authors cautioned that its automated text-mining system may produce errors, overestimations, or incorrect attributions. The study emphasized the importance of manual verification and careful interpretation of policy citations to ensure data accuracy and reliability.

Expanding on this, Dotti and Walczyk, 2022, conducted a policy-oriented systematic review exploring the societal impact of university research. Drawing on 135 publications from Web of Science, Scopus, and ScienceDirect, they identified multiple approaches for monitoring and evaluating research's societal influence. Their findings highlighted conceptual and methodological ambiguities surrounding the term "societal impact," noting an ongoing shift from attribution (direct outcomes) to contribution (broader societal influence). Both studies conveyed on the view that while traditional bibliometrics remain valuable for assessing academic performance, altmetrics particularly policy citations offer additional insights into the real-world influence of research. However, methodological constraints, inconsistent definitions, and data quality issues continue to challenge the validity and comparability of altmetric measures across contexts (Haustein, 2016).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

University of Jaffna research publications received 2,512 total mention, among those 1,972 mentions from social media, 254 news and blogs, 182 mentions in policy, patents and guidelines etc. Seventy five publications were mentioned in World Health Organization (WHO) policy sources. Open access publications received more AAS than closed access and among the open access, bronze open access received highest AAS and dimension citation.

Altmetric-Based Online Visibility and Societal Impact of University of Jaffna Publications

University of Jaffna publication's attention on the social media is explain through the AAS with the individual article level. Figure 1 shows the average AAS trend by year. The graph shows fluctuating but generally increasing attention to Jaffna University publications over time. Highest social media visibility observed in 1998 with the average score of 25.33. Among the total attention score article titled "Polycystic Ovary Syndrome, Combined Oral Contraceptives, and the Risk of Dysglycemia: a Population-Based Cohort Study with a Nested Pharmacoepidemiological Case-Control Study" authored by Balachandran Kumarendran, attached to the Faculty of

Medicine received highest AAS 278.

Among the different open access type Bronze open access received highest AAS than others as indicated by the figure 2. Bronze open access journals are free to read articles and made available by the publishers on their websites but without an explicit open license. Because publishers make high interest articles free for marketing, promotional campaigns or to highlight urgent research. Publishers tend to release popular or socially relevant papers as bronze open access and these topics will attract policymakers, journalists, and the public. Social media users often share direct publisher link, which will be tracked more effectively the repository link in Altmetric Attention Score. Also policy makers and NGOs often cite freely available articles directly from publishers sites not from repositories which contributes to policy mentions.

Impact of Social Media Platforms, News Media, Blogs, and Open Access Publishing on Altmetric Attention Scores

The figure 3 shows an overview of how often research publications from the University of Jaffna were mentioned across various platforms, as tracked by Altmetric. The highest number of mentions received from X-mentions and formerly it was mentioned as Twitter. X received the highest number of mentions among all platforms mainly because it serves as the most dynamic and widely used social media channel for real-time scholarly communication. Several factors contribute to this dominance such as, speed and immediate sharing, wide range of academic community presence, integration with scholarly platforms, and also X is not limited to researchers but also covers journalist, policymakers, and general public.

Disciplinary Variations in Online Attention Patterns and Their Relationship with Traditional Citation Indicators

Table 1 shows the difference in AAS and Dimension citations across the difference subject disciplines. Psychology (n=25.83) and biomedical clinical sciences (n= 22.03) received highest average AAS it indicates the strong social media interest than other research publications by University of Jaffna. Psychology, biomedical and clinical sciences, and environmental sciences have received highest AAS because these subject disciplines produce research that attract broad public, media and policy interest beyond the academic community. Also these disciplines are closely linked to people's daily lives and often

deals with human emotions, social behavior, or quality of life and leading to higher social media engagement.

The comparison between Altmetric Attention Scores (AAS) and Dimension Citations across subject disciplines reveals that there is no uniform or linear relationship between social media attention and academic citation impact. Some disciplines receive high online attention but relatively fewer academic citations, while others show the opposite trend. Psychology recorded the highest average AAS (25.83) and also a very high citation rate (98.66). This indicates that psychology research from the University of Jaffna attracts both academic and societal attention, possibly due to the universal relevance of mental health and human behavior topics, which are widely discussed both in scholarly and public spaces. This field also demonstrated high AAS (22.03) and moderate citation impact (23.06). The results suggest that biomedical findings are quickly shared and discussed online particularly in health communication and patient communities but academic citations take longer to accumulate. Engineering (1.53, 55.45), Chemical Sciences (1.64, 48.2), and Mathematical Sciences (1.11, 8.61), these disciplines show low AAS but comparatively high citation averages, suggesting that their audiences are primarily academic. Their technical nature makes them less appealing for social media discussions or news coverage but valuable within scholarly networks. Human Society and Education, these areas record low AAS and low citation counts, possibly due to limited online dissemination or narrower research scope.

Policy Impact of Research Publications from the University of Jaffna at National and International Levels

The inclusion of University of Jaffna research publications in national and international policy documents demonstrates a significant level of policy influence and societal relevance. According to the Altmetric data, a total of 182 mentions were recorded across policy, patents, and guideline sources, indicating that the university's research outputs are being utilized in decision-making and policy formulation processes beyond academia.

Among these, 75 publications were cited by World Health Organization (WHO) policy sources, reflecting the global recognition of research originating from the University of

Jaffna, particularly in the fields of public health, mental health, and post-conflict rehabilitation.

The article "Starting Mental Health Services in Cambodia" by D. J. Somasundara received the highest number of policy mentions (n = 15), six of which are from WHO documents. This highlights the direct application of the research findings in shaping mental health policies and service delivery frameworks in developing countries. Similarly, "War and Suicide in Northern Sri Lanka" recorded nine policy mentions, indicating strong influence on post-conflict health and social welfare policies.

Other highly cited policy-relevant works, such as "War Trauma in a Civilian Population" and "Public-Private Partnerships for Universal Health Coverage? The Future of 'Free Health' in Sri Lanka", further demonstrate how the university's research contributes to both national health strategies and global policy dialogues related to healthcare systems and humanitarian recovery.

This evidence confirms that several of the University's research outputs have moved beyond scholarly impact to exert a measurable policy impact. The presence of these works in WHO and other global organizations' policy repositories signifies that Jaffna's research is being integrated into international health and development agendas.

CONCLUSIONS

The real time impact of a research output is not only measured through the citation numbers but also measured by the societal impact. International ranking systems also using societal impact as one of the indicators to measure the research influence and research excellence of universities. Social media can provide a measurement of the early reaction to research because the time it takes to discuss such work on social media can be much less than the time it takes to acquire citation information. Also, social media can provide a more complete picture of the use of research than citation counts alone. Importantly, the altmetric score is helpful to rank research outputs based on attention from various sources, but as Elmore, 2018 has pointed out, it cannot tell you anything about the quality of the article itself. It simply tracks attention, and attention can be good or bad. This study explores the significance influence of social media on the alternative metrics in evaluating the immediate impact of research publications other than citations. Scientometric studies shows the citation

pattern of the research output based on an




Figure 1: Average AAS trend for university of Jaffna publication by year




Figure 2: Comparison among the average AAS and dimension citation with different open access type




Figure 3: Total mentions by source type for university of Jaffna publications

Table 1: Average AAS and Average dimension citation numbers for the research area

Research Area	Average AAS	Average Dimension
Psychology	25.83	98.66
Biomedical and Clinical Sciences	22.03	23.06
Environmental Sciences	11	30.71
Health Sciences	7.83	16.8
Agriculture	6.26	14.59
Human Society	3.23	3.26
Biological Sciences	2.94	21.48
Earth Sciences	2.62	19.14
Commerce, Management and Tourism & Services	2.05	35.05
Chemical Science	1.64	48.2
Educatations	1.63	30.25
Information and Computing Science	1.61	14.52
Engineering	1.53	55.45
Mathematical	1.11	8.61
Build Environment and Design	0.23	10.15

institutions, authors and topic. The analysis of altmetric data of University of Jaffna publications explore the online engagement and societal impact of those publications. Trend of AAS shows fluctuating pattern and in general it increasing over the time. Among the different social media platforms, X-mentions (formerly Twitter) is a dominant platform to publicize University of Jaffna publications. Open access model having an impact on receiving altmetric attention than the closed access. Highest AAS received by the Psychology and biomedical sciences, which reveals it's visibility among broader audience. The result significantly shows the usage of research outputs by the national and international level policy documents. It bought as a valuable indicator of real world influence especially these publications have been cited in global health policies published by World Health Organization. Altmetric Attention Score highlighting the multidimensional nature of the University Jaffna research impact. Finally this analysis ensure the value of incorporating altmetrics into institutional research evaluation framework, encouraging researchers to actively engage in public and policy development will help to plan research dissemination, improve the visibility and align academic efforts with societal needs.

REFERENCES

- Adie, E., & Roe, W. (2013). Altmetric: Enriching scholarly content with article-level discussion and metrics. *Learned Publishing*, 26(1), 11–17. <https://doi.org/10.1087/20130103>.
- Altmetric.com. (2025). Altmetric Explorer - Altmetric. How It Works-Altmetric. <https://url-shortener.me/3T86>.
- Chang, J., Desai, N., & Gosain, A. (2019). Correlation Between Altmetric Score and Citations in Pediatric Surgery Core Journals. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 243(February), 52–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2019.05.010>.
- Dotti, N. F., & Walczyk, J. (2022). What is the societal impact of university research? A policy-oriented review to map approaches, identify monitoring methods and success factors. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 95, 102157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/evalprogplan.2022.102157>
- Elmore, S. A. (2018). The Altmetric Attention Score: What Does It Mean and Why Should I Care? *Toxicologic Pathology*, 46(3), 252–255. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192623318758294>.
- Lamba, M., Kashyap, N., & Margam, M. (2021). Research evaluation of computer science publications using Altmetrics: a cohort study of Indian Central Universities. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*, 70(4/5), 459–486. <https://doi.org/10.1108/gkmc-07-2020-0097>.
- Sedighi, M. (2020). Evaluating the impact of research using the altmetrics approach (case study: the field of scientometrics). *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*, 69(4–5), 241–252. <https://doi.org/10.1108/GKMC-02-2019-0013>.
- Tattersall, A., & Carroll, C. (2018). What Can Altmetric.com Tell Us About Policy Citations of Research? An Analysis of Altmetric.com Data for Research Articles from the University of Sheffield. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2017.00009>.

Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Digital Science Altmetric & Dimensions Team for providing no-cost access to Altmetric Explorer through their special program for scientometric researchers. This access was instrumental in enabling a comprehensive and real-time analysis of the online engagement and social media impact of University of Jaffna's research outputs.



Eastern Journal of Library and Information Science (EJLIS)

eISSN: 3121-3375

Author Guidelines

The Eastern Journal of Library & Information Science (EJLIS) is an open-access, peer-reviewed online journal published biannually by the Library Network of the Eastern University, Sri Lanka (EUSL). EJLIS invites high-quality submissions presenting original research, critical analyses, and discussions on emerging trends and innovations in Library and Information Science (LIS). These guidelines outline submission requirements, formatting, and the editorial and review processes.

For editorial correspondence, please contact: Editor, EJLIS (editorejlis@esn.ac.lk).

1. Manuscript Categories

1.1 Research Articles

The manuscripts must be unpublished and not under review elsewhere and should present novel, rigorously validated findings.

Authors should adhere to a word limit of 4,000–6,000 words and include the following sections:

- ◆ Title: Concise and accurately represent the research.
- ◆ Author(s) Name(s) and Affiliations: Include author name(s), affiliation(s), and e-mail address(es). It should also include the corresponding author's ORCID number. If there are multiple authors, the corresponding author should be indicated with an asterisk (*).
- ◆ Abstract: Limited to 250 words, summarizing the research aim, methodology, key findings, conclusions, and recommendations (if applicable).
- ◆ Keywords: Provide up to 5 keywords for indexing and retrieval.
- ◆ Introduction: Covers background, literature review, research problem, objectives, and/or research questions.
- ◆ Methodology: Details research strategy, sampling methods, population, sample size, and analytical techniques.
- ◆ Results, Analysis, and Findings
- ◆ Discussion, Conclusions, and Recommendations
- ◆ Future Research Implications
- ◆ Acknowledgments: Brief paragraph mentioning financial or institutional support (if any).
- ◆ References: Follow APA 7th edition format.

1.2 Review Papers

Review papers should critically analyze established literature in a focused LIS-related area. They must include a clear and concise title, abstract, introduction, main body, conclusion, and references. The length of the manuscript should be within 10 pages.

1.3 Short Communications

Short communications (up to 2000-2,500 words, including figures and tables) should report smaller studies, new methods, or emerging techniques in LIS. Progress reports are not accepted.

2. Technical Aspects of Manuscripts

- ◆ Paper size: A4 paper.
 - ◆ Margin: one inch each side
 - ◆ Line spacing: 1.5-line space for content pages, 1 line space for abstract, acknowledgement, tables, and figure captions, references and appendix.
 - ◆ Font type: 12pt, Times New Roman for all the contents. For first level headings 14 pt upper case, bold, for second level heading 13 pt, sentence case, bold.
 - ◆ Page numbering: Consecutively numbered by Indo Arabic numbers at the middle bottom.
 - ◆ Graphs and tables should be self-explanatory for readers, and these should be referred to within text.
 - ◆ Horizontal lines should be avoided in the table.
 - ◆ Caption should be at top of the table, and at bottom of figure (including graph). Whenever the figure or table is borrowed from other sources, it should be indicated with captions.
 - ◆ References: References should be in American Psychological Association (APA) 7th edition format.
 - ◆ Medium of Publication: English
-

3. Submission Process

- ◆ Manuscripts should be submitted as Microsoft Word (.docx) or Open Office (.odt) files.
 - ◆ Email submissions to Editor, EJLIS (editorejlis@esn.ac.lk).
 - ◆ Authors should ensure compliance with formatting guidelines before submission.
 - ◆ A plagiarism check will be conducted, and submissions with more than 15% similarity may be rejected.
-

4. Ethical Considerations

- ◆ Authorship and Contributions: All listed authors must have made significant contributions.
 - ◆ Plagiarism Policy: The journal follows a strict anti-plagiarism policy.
 - ◆ Conflict of Interest: Authors must disclose any conflicts of interest.
-

5. Review Process

Submissions undergo initial screening by the Editorial Board, followed by a double-blind peer review by two independent reviewers.

6. Publication

All published work is licensed under Creative Commons (Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International)

For further details, contact the editorial office at editorejlis@esn.ac.lk.